

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/815,330 | 03/23/2001 | John A. Zaia | 1954-333 | 4689 | |
| 6449 7590 04/30/2004 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. | | | SCHEINER, LAURIE A | | |
| 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 | | ART UNIT PAPER N | | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | 1648 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004 | 19 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Appli

Office Action Summary

09/815,330

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Zaia et al.

| | | Laurie Scri | - Jenner | 1040 | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet | with the corres | pondence address | - | | |
| | for Reply | · | - | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | |
| mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | the statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become Al | hirty (30) days will be NTHS from the mailing ABANDONED (35 U.S. | e considered timely. ng date of this communica 3.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | , | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 12, 2 | 2003 | | | · | | |
| 2a) 💢 | | ction is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | ition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 2 and 23 | | is/are | pending in the a | pplication. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | e withdrawn fron | n consideration. | | |
| | Claim(s) 2 | | | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) 23 | | | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | i | is/are objected to |) . | | |
| | Claims | are sul | bject to restric | tion and/or electi | ion requirement. | | |
| | ation Papers | • | | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | e a) 🗆 accepted o | r b)□ objecte | d to by the Exam | niner. | | |
| _ | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)[| ☐ approved | b)□ disapproved | d by the Examiner. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | to this Office action | 1. | | | | |
| 12) 🗌 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)□ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | priority under 35 U. | .S.C. § 119(a)- | ·(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)∟ | ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 17.2 | 2(a)}. | this National Sta | ge | | |
| _ | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | • | | | |
| 14)0X0 a)□ | 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | |
| a) In the translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachm | | ; priority under oo . | 0.3.0. 33 120 | allu/or izi. | | | |
| _ | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | rv (PTO-413) Paper f | Vo(s). | | | |
| | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal | | | | | |
| 3) [] Inf | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/815,330

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 2 and 23 are pending. Claim 2 is free of the prior art and would be allowable if amended to an independent form.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diamond et al. (US Patent No. 6,156,317) in view of Gallina et al. (JOURNAL OF VIROLOGY, 1999 February, 73 (2) 1468-78) for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed August 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Essentially, applicants argue that Diamond et al. fails to disclose, discuss, mention or hint at mutant pp65 protein. Rather, Diamond et al. teach peptides which are not mutant forms of viral protein, but rather small peptides based on a wild type sequence according to applicants.

The examiner contends that applicants' representation of Diamond et al. is incorrect.

The examiner concedes that Diamond et al. do in fact teach small peptides based on wild type pp65 protein (epitopes), however, the complete teachings of Diamond et al. are not so limited. That is, the patent clearly teaches, at column 11, that in order to determine the precise epitope of interest, a series of vaccinia truncations that deleted the pp65 protein from the carboxyl towards the amino terminus were made. Applicants' claim 23 merely recites "pp65 mutant". Applicants are reminded that the pp65 deletion (or truncation) of Diamond et al. is a mutant.

Again, the instant term mutant is broad and encompasses deletions, substitutions, etc. The fact that Diamond et al. refer to their pp65 truncation(s), rather than as mutant(s) is merely a matter of semantics since deletion mutants were clearly generated.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Scheiner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. Due to a flexible work schedule, the examiner's hours typically vary each day. However, the examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (571) 272-0902.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward the following central fax number: (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,330

Art Unit: 1648

Laurie Scheiner/LAS April 21, 2004

> LAURIE SCHEINER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4